

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,134	08/18/2003	Walter Ruhli	3587-000008	3294
27572	7590 10/06/2004		EXAM	INER
	DICKEY & PIERCE,	KRIZEK, JANICE LEE		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	3652	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· /	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/643,134	RUHLI, WALTER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Janice L. Krizek	3652 V /			
Period for Reply	Dears on the cover sheet w	un the correspondence address =			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the	36(a). In no event, however, may a c y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in A rity documents have been U (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-18-03;1-19-04</u> .	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,134 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. Applicant is requested to submit a copy of the EP-A-0,761,535 reference cited on page 3 in line 4 of the specification.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "means arranged thereon for removing the carton blank on its own", found in lines 4-5 of claim 1, is unclear with respect to the removing function performed. A similar recitation is found in claim 4; claim 4 is likewise rejected.

The recitation "a pivoting movement... is superposed by a rectilinear movement of the carriage", found in lines 7-8 of claim 1, is unclear with respect to the movements performed.

The recitation "the transfer position is at least more or less horizontal...in an at least more or less horizontal direction", found in claim 2, is unclear with respect to the orientation of the transfer position and the direction.

The recitation "the pivoting arm is of rigid, in particular angled, design", found in claim 3, is unclear with respect to the construction of the pivoting arm.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,134 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

The recitation "at least one sucker strip,...has in each case at least one vacuum sucker", found in lines 3-4 of claim 5, is unclear with respect to what "in each case" means in the claimed context. A similar recitation is found in claim 6; claim 6 is likewise rejected.

The recitation "a drive, in particular a servomotor...preferably has a...shaft", found in claim 7, is unclear with respect to the construction of the drive. A similar recitation is found in lines 2-3 of claim 8; claim 8 is likewise rejected.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masunaga et al.

Masunaga et al. disclose a separating arrangement including pivoting arm 50 having removing means 60, the pivoting arm mounted on rectilinearly movable carriage 48.

6. The cited prior art shows article transferring arrangements.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,134 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Janice Krizek whose telephone number is (703) 308-2026. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 11:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis, can be reached at (703) 308-3248. The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

January Examiner

Your 1/30/2004

January Examiner

Filliary Examine

Technology Center 3600